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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** It has been suggested that requiring treatment and prohibiting the possession of an animal by a person convicted of animal cruelty is one of the most effective ways to ensure that the person does not reoffend. C.S.H.B. 2012 seeks to address this issue by providing judges with more tools when they set conditions of community supervision for animal abusers. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** C.S.H.B. 2012 amends the Code of Criminal Procedure to authorize a judge granting community supervision to a defendant convicted of cruelty to livestock animals, attack on an assistance animal, cruelty to nonlivestock animals, dog fighting, or cockfighting to do the following: * require the defendant to relinquish custody of any animals in the defendant's possession;
* prohibit the defendant from possessing or exercising control over any animals or residing in a household where animals are present; or
* require the defendant to participate in a psychological counseling or other appropriate treatment program for a period to be determined by the court.
 |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE** September 1, 2019. |
| **COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL AND SUBSTITUTE**C.S.H.B. 2012 differs from the original in minor or nonsubstantive ways by conforming to certain bill drafting conventions. |
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