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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** There have been calls to authorize a person to hold more than one office as an elected or appointed municipal judge in more than one municipality at the same time. C.S.H.J.R. 72 proposes a constitutional amendment to permit such an occurrence. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this resolution does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this resolution does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** C.S.H.J.R. 72 proposes an amendment to the Texas Constitution to authorize a person to hold more than one office as an elected or appointed municipal judge in more than one municipality at the same time. |
| **ELECTION DATE** The constitutional amendment proposed by this joint resolution will be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 5, 2019. |
| **COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL AND SUBSTITUTE**While C.S.H.J.R. 72 may differ from the original in minor or nonsubstantive ways, the following summarizes the substantial differences between the introduced and committee substitute versions of the resolution.The substitute does not include the condition that the person seeking to hold more than one office as a municipal judge at the same time be unpaid for any such office held by the person.  |
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