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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** It has been noted that although state law provides for exceptions to the requirement for a court to give priority to an applicable public defender's office for purposes of appointment to represent indigent defendants in criminal cases, the grounds on which an exception can be made are unclear, and the process in making that exception is not transparent. It has been suggested that this has led to appointments from the private bar instead of from otherwise qualified, cost‑effective, and successful public defender's offices. S.B. 583 seeks to facilitate the efficient use of public defender's offices by revising the conditions under which a court may make an exception to the appointment of such an office.  |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** S.B. 583 amends the Code of Criminal Procedure to specify that the countywide procedures for the timely and fair appointment of counsel for an indigent defendant in a criminal proceeding, including a proceeding in a capital murder case, must provide for the priority appointment of a public defender's office in a county with such an office. The bill adds as conditions under which a court is not required to appoint a public defender's office for an indigent defendant the condition that the appointment would be contrary to the office's written plan or that the office is prohibited from accepting the appointment for certain reasons. The bill makes the condition that the court has reason to appoint other counsel contingent on the court making a finding of good cause on the record for such appointment.  |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE** September 1, 2019. |