LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 86TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 1, 2019

TO: Honorable Kelly Hancock, Chair, Senate Committee on Business & Commerce

FROM: John McGeady, Assistant Director Sarah Keyton, Assistant Director Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: SB1394 by Seliger (Relating to the regulation of companies that prearrange barbering and cosmetology services outside of certain facilities; requiring an occupational license; authorizing fees.), As Introduced

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for SB1394, As Introduced: a positive impact of \$1,557,898 through the biennium ending August 31, 2021.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Y	'ear	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2020)	\$1,395,589
2021		\$162,309
2022		\$1,412,309
2023		\$162,309
2024		\$1,412,309

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Revenue Gain from <i>General Revenue Fund</i> 1	Probable (Cost) from General Revenue Fund 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2019
2020	\$1,500,000	(\$104,411)	1.5
2021	\$250,000	(\$87,691)	1.5
2022	\$1,500,000	(\$87,691)	1.5
2023	\$250,000	(\$87,691)	1.5
2024	\$1,500,000	(\$87,691)	1.5

Fiscal Analysis

This bill would amend the Occupations Code relating to the regulation of companies that prearrange barbering and cosmetology services outside of certain facilities, requiring an occupational license issued by the Texas Commission of Licensing and Regulation (TCLR). The bill would authorize TCLR to collect permit fees and would require TCLR to set rules for remote

service businesses and for currently licensed barbers and cosmetologists who utilize a remote service business to prearrange their services at a non-licensed facility.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2019.

Methodology

The remote service business license would have the same characteristics as a beauty salon and barber shop licenses. Based on information provided by the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation (TDLR), this analysis assumes the population of persons which would obtain a remote service business license would be approximately 35,000. According to TDLR, a remote service business license would be able to be submitted online and the license holder would not have to hold an individual cosmetology or barber license, although anyone the license holder sends out to perform services would have to hold the license which is allowed to perform the services requested. The addition of a regulatory program to TDLR would result in an increase in the number of customer service contacts. The new program would require no new education, examination, or inspections components. According to TDLR, rulemaking and legal expertise for the new license can be accomplished with existing resources. The creation of a new license type similar to one which already exists in the system which licenses cosmetology and barbering would not entail a cost.

Based on information provided by TDLR, this analysis assumes the agency would require 1.5 new full-time-equivalent (FTE) positions. This would result in a cost of \$104,411 in the first year for salary, benefits, and other operating expenses, plus one-time costs for furniture and equipment, and \$87,691 each year afterward in salary, benefits, and operating expenses.

It is anticipated that approximately 30,000 persons would apply for the license in the first year. According to TDLR, this would be a two-year license and those license holders would renew in the third and fifth year. About 5,000 people would apply in the second year and renew in the fourth year. The cost for a remote service business license would be approximately \$50, slightly less than a mini-salon or mini-shop license. This would result in a revenue gain of approximately \$1,500,000 in fiscal year 2020, \$250,000 in fiscal year 2021, \$1,500,000 in fiscal year 2022, \$250,000 in fiscal year 2023, and \$1,500,000 in fiscal year 2024.

This analysis assumes that any increased cost to TDLR, which is statutorily required to generate sufficient revenue to cover its costs of operation, would be offset by an increase in fee generated revenue.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 304 Comptroller of Public Accounts, 452 Department of Licensing and

Regulation

LBB Staff: WP, CLo, SGr, DFR