### **SENATE AMENDMENTS**

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Printing

By: Davis of Harris H.B. No. 771

#### A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to the placement of warning signs in areas where the use of
3	a wireless communication device is prohibited.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. The heading to Section 545.425, Transportation
6	Code, is amended to read as follows:
7	Sec. 545.425. USE OF WIRELESS COMMUNICATION DEVICE IN A
8	SCHOOL CROSSING ZONE OR WHILE OPERATING A SCHOOL BUS WITH A MINOR
9	PASSENGER; <u>LOCAL AUTHORITY</u> [ <del>POLITICAL SUBDIVISION</del> ] SIGN
10	REQUIREMENTS; OFFENSE.
11	SECTION 2. Sections $545.425(b-1)$ , $(b-2)$ , $(b-4)$ , and $(d-1)$ ,
12	Transportation Code, are amended to read as follows:
13	(b-1) Except as provided by Subsection (b-2), <u>a local</u>
14	<pre>authority [a municipality, county, or other political subdivision]</pre>
15	that enforces this section in a school crossing zone in the local
16	authority's jurisdiction shall post a sign, or approve the posting
17	of a sign by a school or school district, that complies with the
18	standards described by this subsection at $\underline{each}$ [the] entrance to
19	the [each] school crossing zone [in the municipality, county, or
20	other political subdivision]. The <u>Texas Department of</u>
21	<u>Transportation</u> [department] shall adopt standards that:
22	(1) allow for a sign required to be posted under this
23	subsection to be attached to an existing sign at a minimal cost; and
24	(2) require that a sign required to be posted under

- 1 this subsection inform an operator that:
- 2 (A) the use of a wireless communication device is
- 3 prohibited in the school crossing zone; and
- 4 (B) the operator is subject to a fine if the
- 5 operator uses a wireless communication device in the school
- 6 crossing zone.
- 7 (b-2) A <u>local authority</u> [municipality, county, or other
- 8 political subdivision] that by ordinance or rule prohibits the use
- 9 of a wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle,
- 10 including a prohibition that contains an exception for the use of a
- 11 wireless communication device with a hands-free device, throughout
- 12 the jurisdiction of the local authority [political subdivision] is
- 13 not required to post a sign as required by Subsection (b-1) and
- 14 shall:
- 15 (1) post signs that are located at each point at which
- 16 a state highway, U.S. highway, or interstate highway enters the
- 17 jurisdiction of the local authority [political subdivision] and
- 18 that state:
- 19 (A) that an operator is prohibited from using a
- 20 wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle in
- 21 the jurisdiction of the local authority [political subdivision],
- 22 and whether use of a wireless communication device with a
- 23 hands-free device is allowed in the jurisdiction of the local
- 24 <u>authority</u> [<del>political subdivision</del>]; and
- 25 (B) that the operator is subject to a fine if the
- 26 operator uses a wireless communication device while operating a
- 27 motor vehicle in the jurisdiction of the local authority [political

#### 1 subdivision]; and

- 2 (2) subject to all applicable United States Department
- 3 of Transportation Federal Highway Administration rules, post a
- 4 message that complies with Subdivision (1) on any dynamic message
- 5 sign operated by the <u>local authority</u> [political subdivision]
- 6 located on a state highway, U.S. highway, or interstate highway in
- 7 the jurisdiction of the local authority [political subdivision].
- 8 (b-4) The <u>local authority</u> [political subdivision] shall pay
- 9 the costs associated with the posting of signs under <u>Subsections</u>
- 10 (b-1) and [Subsection] (b-2), unless the authority enters an
- 11 agreement providing otherwise.
- 12 (d-1) The affirmative defense available in Subsection
- 13 (d)(2) is not available for an offense under Subsection (b)
- 14 committed in a school crossing zone located in the jurisdiction of a
- 15 <u>local authority</u> [a municipality, county, or other political
- 16 subdivision] that is in compliance with Subsection (b-2).
- 17 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2019.

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.

BY: Cofferine

- Amend H.B. No. 771 (senate committee printing) as follows: 1
- (1) In SECTION 1, amending the heading to Section 545.425, 2
- Transportation Code (page 1, line 25), strike "SCHOOL BUS" and 3
- substitute "[SCHOOL] BUS". 4
- In the recital to SECTION 2, amending Section 545.425, 5
- Transportation Code (page 1, lines 28 and 29), strike "Sections 6
- 545.425(b-1), (b-2), (b-4), and (d-1), Transportation Code, are
- amended" and substitute "Section 545.425, Transportation Code, is
- amended by amending Subsections (b-1), (b-2), (b-4), (c), and (d-1)9
- and adding Subsection (e-1)". 10
- (3) In SECTION 2 of the bill, in amended Section 545.425, 11
- Transportation Code (page 2, between lines 18 and 19), insert the 12
- 13 following:
- (c) An operator may not use a wireless communication device 14
- while operating a school bus or passenger bus with a minor passenger 15
- on the bus unless the [passenger] bus is stopped. 16
- In SECTION 2 of the bill, in amended Section 545.425, 17
- Transportation Code (page 2, between lines 23 and 24), insert the 18
- 19 following:
- (e-1) Subsection (c) does not apply to an operator of a 20
- school bus or passenger bus using a wireless communication device: 21
- 22 (1) in the performance of the operator's duties as a
- bus driver; and 23
- 24 (2) in a manner similar to using a two-way radio.

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

#### FISCAL NOTE, 86TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### May 20, 2019

TO: Honorable Dennis Bonnen, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

**FROM:** John McGeady, Assistant Director Sarah Keyton, Assistant Director Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB771 by Davis, Sarah (Relating to the placement of warning signs in areas where the use of a wireless communication device is prohibited.), As Passed 2nd House

#### No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Transportation Code to broaden the application of an existing law that requires posting of certain signage concerning the prohibition of a wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle within in a school crossing zone. The bill clarifies that the Department of Transportation (TxDOT) is required to adopt standards for this signage. The bill would authorize school crossing guards to enforce this law.

According to TxDOT, no fiscal impact to the agency is anticipated. While the bill would clarify current law to require that TxDOT, rather than TxDPS, adopt standards for the required signs required, TxDOT is already the agency required to adopt these standards and has already done so.

#### **Local Government Impact**

According to Bexar, Ector, and Harris Counties no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 405 Department of Public Safety, 601 Department of Transportation

LBB Staff: WP, JGAn, SD, GP, SMi

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

#### FISCAL NOTE, 86TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### May 15, 2019

**TO:** Honorable Robert Nichols, Chair, Senate Committee on Transportation

FROM: John McGeady, Assistant Director Sarah Keyton, Assistant Director

Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB771 by Davis, Sarah (Relating to the placement of warning signs in areas where the use

of a wireless communication device is prohibited.), As Engrossed

#### No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Transportation Code to broaden the application of an existing law that requires posting of certain signage concerning the prohibition of a wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle within in a school crossing zone. The bill clarifies that the Department of Transportation (TxDOT) is required to adopt standards for this signage. The bill would authorize school crossing guards to enforce this law.

According to TxDOT, no fiscal impact to the agency is anticipated. While the bill would clarify current law to require that TxDOT, rather than TxDPS, adopt standards for the required signs required, TxDOT is already the agency required to adopt these standards and has already done so.

#### **Local Government Impact**

According to Bexar, Ector, and Harris Counties no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 405 Department of Public Safety, 601 Department of Transportation

LBB Staff: WP, JGAn, SD, GP, SMi

### LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

#### FISCAL NOTE, 86TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

#### March 19, 2019

**TO:** Honorable Terry Canales, Chair, House Committee on Transportation

**FROM:** John McGeady, Assistant Director Sarah Keyton, Assistant Director

Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE:** HB771 by Davis, Sarah (Relating to the placement of warning signs in areas where the use of a wireless communication device is prohibited.), **As Introduced** 

#### No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

The bill would amend the Transportation Code to broaden the application of an existing law that requires posting of certain signage concerning the prohibition of a wireless communication device while operating a motor vehicle within in a school crossing zone. The bill clarifies that the Department of Transportation (TxDOT) is required to adopt standards for this signage. The bill would authorize school crossing guards to enforce this law.

According to TxDOT, no fiscal impact to the agency is anticipated. While the bill would clarify current law to require that TxDOT, rather than TxDPS, adopt standards for the required signs required, TxDOT is already the agency required to adopt these standards and has already done so.

#### **Local Government Impact**

According to Bexar, Ector, and Harris Counties no significant fiscal impact is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 405 Department of Public Safety, 601 Department of Transportation

LBB Staff: WP, JGAn, SD, GP, SMi