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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**  In Texas, victims who have been compelled to break the law in the context of an inherently coercive arrangement with a trafficker or abuser are not able to introduce evidence of their history of victimization as an affirmative defense at trial. It has been noted that a recent criminal appeals court ruling provided that the current standard of "reasonable firmness" with regard to compulsion to commit an offense does not include a person who has become more susceptible to coercion because of a traumatic event. H.B. 2290 seeks to ensure that a defendant can introduce evidence of this form of coercion so that a jury or judge acting as a fact finder can take it into account when evaluating whether acting under duress is the appropriate defense. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**  It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY**  It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS**  H.B. 2290 amends the Penal Code to change the circumstances under which "compulsion" exists for purposes of asserting an affirmative defense to prosecution of an offense committed under duress to only if the force or threat of force would render a reasonable person in the situation of the defendant incapable of resisting the pressure. |
| **EFFECTIVE DATE**  September 1, 2021. |