

BILL ANALYSIS

C.S.H.B. 4630
By: King, Phil
Urban Affairs
Committee Report (Substituted)

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

It has been suggested that an area located within Parker County would benefit from the creation of a management district. C.S.H.B. 4630 seeks to provide for the creation of such a district.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT

It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY

It is the committee's opinion that this bill does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution.

ANALYSIS

C.S.H.B. 4630 amends the Special District Local Laws Code to create the Parker County Municipal Management District No. 2 to provide certain improvements, projects, and services for public use and benefit. The bill provides for the following, among other provisions:

- the addition of land to, or exclusion of land from, the district;
- the disbursement or transfer of district money;
- the inapplicability of a certain residential property exemption to the district;
- the division of the district into two or more new districts; and
- the dissolution of the district.

The district's powers and duties include, subject to certain requirements, the authority to issue obligations and impose property and operation and maintenance taxes. The bill authorizes the district to exercise the power of eminent domain, except outside the district to acquire a certain site or easement, but prohibits the district from exercising such power if the bill does not receive the necessary vote.

EFFECTIVE DATE

On passage, or, if the bill does not receive the necessary vote, September 1, 2021.

COMPARISON OF ORIGINAL AND SUBSTITUTE

While C.S.H.B. 4630 may differ from the original in minor or nonsubstantive ways, the following summarizes the substantial differences between the introduced and committee substitute versions of the bill.

With respect to the district's authority to issue bonds and other obligations to finance an improvement, the original and substitute differ as follows:

- the original conditioned the authority on the improvement being conveyed to or operated and maintained by a municipality or other retail utility provider pursuant to an agreement with the district entered into before the issuance of the obligation; and
- the substitute instead conditions the authority on the improvement being conveyed to, operated and maintained by, or otherwise financed pursuant to an agreement between the district and a county, a municipality, or a retail utility provider entered into before issuance.