By: Meza H.C.R. No. 54

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

- 1 WHEREAS, In 2015, the federal Department of Justice (DOJ)
- 2 began an investigation of the Health and Human Services Commission
- 3 (HHSC) quality control (QC) of the Supplemental Nutrition
- 4 Assistance Program (SNAP) from 2007 to the present, requesting
- 5 documents from HHSC in April 2017 and deposing HHSC staff in March
- 6 2019; and
- 7 WHEREAS, DOJ's investigation focused on whether HHSC
- 8 properly and accurately calculated, determined, and reported
- 9 Texas' error rates in compliance with FNS requirements regarding
- 10 SNAP QC; specifically, DOJ focused on HHSC's relationship with
- 11 Julie Osnes Consulting (Osnes), a contractor who assisted HHSC in
- 12 determining SNAP error rates and quality control review of SNAP
- 13 cases from September 2009 to June 2015; and
- 14 WHEREAS, DOJ was concerned that, by following certain
- 15 recommendations by Osnes, HHSC failed to maintain the integrity of
- 16 the QC system by introducing bias into its processes; and
- WHEREAS, Osnes reached a settlement with DOJ in June 2019 of
- 18 \$751,571, and to date three other states have settled DOJ's claims
- 19 related to their implementation of Osnes's recommendations:
- 20 (a) April 2017--Virginia settled for \$7,150,436;
- 21 (b) April 2017--Wisconsin settled for \$6,991,905;
- 22 (c) September 2017--Alaska settled for \$2,489,999; and
- WHEREAS, DOJ claimed that HHSC's SNAP management violated the
- 24 Federal False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. 3729, et seq.) and the Program

H.C.R. No. 54

- 1 Fraud Civil Remedies Act (31 U.S.C. 3801, et seq.), in addition to
- 2 asserting various claims under common law; and
- 3 WHEREAS, The Covered Conduct alleged by DOJ included:
- 4 (a) Changing QC findings or dropping cases from review to 5 reduce or eliminate errors;
- 6 (b) Adding to or removing information from case files as

necessary to support the new findings and submitting the revised

- 8 findings and information to the U.S. Food and Nutrition Service;
- 9 (c) Finding ways to induce client responses to justify
- 10 dropping error cases from the review and asking leading questions
- 11 of clients to obtain desired answers to eliminate error potential;
- 12 (d) Selectively applying requirements and policies to
- 13 overturn and reduce errors;
- 14 (e) Retaliating against SNAP employees who questioned using
- 15 Osnes's methods by removing the employees from the QC review team;
- 16 and

7

- WHEREAS, DOJ sought recovery of two years of accuracy
- 18 performance bonuses paid to HHSC, as well as certain other costs
- 19 associated with the QC process and the relationship with Osnes; and
- 20 WHEREAS, DOJ engaged HHSC in settlement negotiations, with
- 21 the Office of the Attorney General representing HHSC in both the
- 22 investigation and settlement discussions; and
- 23 WHEREAS, In December 2019, the parties reached agreement on
- 24 the following terms:
- 25 (a) A total settlement amount of \$15,294,360:
- 26 (1) Of that amount, DOJ characterizes \$13,396,343 as
- 27 restitution for the performance bonuses paid to the State;

H.C.R. No. 54

- 1 (2) The remaining \$1,898,017 represents repayment of
- 2 the federally funded portion of HHSC's QC costs and the amounts paid
- 3 to Osnes, plus a "multiplier" required by DOJ;
- 4 (b) Waiver by HHSC of any claim to the 2014 performance
- 5 bonus that was awarded but never paid to the State;
- 6 (c) No admission of liability by HHSC; and
- WHEREAS, Section 111.003(b) of the Texas Civil Practice and
- 8 Remedies Code prohibits HHSC from entering a settlement agreement
- 9 that requires the payment of damages of more than \$10,000,000
- 10 within a fiscal biennium; therefore, the settlement agreement is
- 11 expressly conditioned upon the legislature approving and
- 12 appropriating the agreed upon settlement amount; and
- WHEREAS, Since the findings resulting in the settlement, the
- 14 U.S. Department of Agriculture has reviewed HHSC's Quality Control
- 15 SNAP section processes twice and did not identify any adverse
- 16 finding; now, therefore, be it
- 17 RESOLVED, That the 87th Legislature of the State of Texas
- 18 hereby approve the proposed Settlement Agreement.