By:Huffman, et al.<br/>(Murphy)S.B. No. 1372Substitute the following for S.B. No. 1372:Example 100 (S.B. No. 1372)By:ParkerC.S.S.B. No. 1372

## A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

2 relating to the evaluation and reporting of the performance of 3 certain public retirement systems.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

5 SECTION 1. Sections 802.002(c) and (d), Government Code, 6 are amended to read as follows:

7 (c) Notwithstanding any other law, a defined contribution 8 plan is exempt from Sections 802.101, 802.1012, 802.1014, 802.103, 9 802.104, <u>802.110</u>, and 802.202(d). This subsection may not be 10 construed to exempt any plan from Section 802.105 or 802.106(h).

(d) Notwithstanding any other law, a retirement system that is organized under the Texas Local Fire Fighters Retirement Act (Article 6243e, Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes) for a fire department consisting exclusively of volunteers as defined by that Act is exempt from Sections 802.101, 802.1012, 802.1014, 802.102, 802.103, 802.104, <u>802.110</u>, and 802.202(d). This subsection may not be construed to exempt any plan from Section 802.105 or 802.106(h).

18 SECTION 2. Section 802.109, Government Code, is amended by 19 amending Subsections (a), (d), (e), (f), and (h) and adding 20 Subsection (e-1) to read as follows:

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (e) and subject to
Subsections (c) and (k), a public retirement system shall select an
independent firm with substantial experience in evaluating
institutional investment practices and performance to evaluate the

1

4

3 recommendations for improving the retirement system's investment policies, procedures, and practices. Each evaluation must include: 4 5 a summary of the independent firm's experience in (1)evaluating institutional investment practices and performance and 6 a statement that the firm's experience meets the experience 7 required by this subsection; 8 9 (2) a statement indicating the nature of any existing relationship between the independent firm and the public retirement 10 system and confirming that the firm and any related entity are not 11 12 involved in directly or indirectly managing the investments of the 13 system; 14 (3) a list of the types of remuneration received by the 15 independent firm from sources other than the public retirement system for services provided to the system; 16 17 (4) a statement identifying any potential conflict of interest or any appearance of a conflict of interest that could 18 19 impact the analysis included in the evaluation due to an existing relationship between the independent firm and: 20 (A) the public retirement system; or 21 22 (B) any current or former member of the governing 23 body of the system; and 24 (5) an explanation of the firm's determination regarding whether to include a recommendation for each of the 25 26 following evaluated matters: 27 an analysis of any investment policy or (A)

appropriateness, adequacy, and effectiveness of the retirement

investment practices and performance and to

1

2

system's

C.S.S.B. No. 1372

make

1 strategic investment plan adopted by the retirement system and the retirement system's compliance with that policy or plan; 2 3 (B) [(2)] a detailed review of the retirement system's investment asset allocation, including: 4 5 (i) [<del>(A)</del>] the process for determining target allocations; 6 7 (ii) [(B)] the expected risk and expected rate of return, categorized by asset class; 8 9 (iii) [<del>(C)</del>] the appropriateness of 10 selection and valuation methodologies of alternative and illiquid assets; and 11 (iv) [<del>(D)</del>] future cash flow and liquidity 12 13 needs; 14 (C) [(3)] a review of the appropriateness of 15 investment fees and commissions paid by the retirement system; 16 (D) [(4)] a review of the retirement system's 17 governance processes related to investment activities, including investment decision-making processes, delegation of investment 18 authority, and board investment expertise and education; and 19 (E) [(5)] a review of the retirement system's 20 investment manager selection and monitoring process. 21 A public retirement system shall conduct the evaluation 22 (d) 23 described by Subsection (a): 24 (1)once every three years, if the total assets of the retirement system [has total assets the book value of which,] as of 25 26 the last day of the preceding [last] fiscal year were [considered in an evaluation under this section, was] at least \$100 million; or 27

C.S.S.B. No. 1372

C.S.S.B. No. 1372

1 (2) once every six years, if the <u>total assets of the</u> 2 retirement system [has total assets the book value of which,] as of 3 the last day of the <u>preceding</u> [last] fiscal year <u>were</u> [considered in 4 an evaluation under this section, was] at least \$30 million and less 5 than \$100 million.

(e) A public retirement system is not required to conduct
the evaluation described by Subsection (a) if the <u>total assets of</u>
<u>the</u> retirement system [has total assets the book value of which,] as
of the last day of the preceding fiscal year <u>were</u>[, was] less than
\$30 million.

(e-1) Not later than the 30th day after the date an 11 12 independent firm completes an evaluation described by Subsection (a), the independent firm shall: 13 14 (1) submit to the public retirement system for 15 purposes of discussion and clarification a substantially completed preliminary draft of the evaluation report; and 16 17 (2) request in writing that the system, on or before the 30th day after the date the system receives the preliminary 18 19 draft, submit to the firm: (A) a description of any action taken or expected 20 to be taken in response to a recommendation made in the evaluation; 21 22 and 23 (B) any written response of the system that the 24 system wants to accompany the final evaluation report. The independent firm shall file the final evaluation 25 (f) 26 report, including the evaluation results and any response received from the public retirement system, [A report of an evaluation under 27

C.S.S.B. No. 1372

1 this section must be filed] with the governing body of the [public
2 retirement] system:

3 (1) not earlier than the 31st day after the date on
4 which the preliminary draft is submitted to the system; and

(2) not later than the later of:

5

6 (A) the 60th day after the date on which the
7 preliminary draft is submitted to the system; or

8 (B) May 1 <u>in the</u> [<del>of each</del>] year following the year 9 in which the system is evaluated under Subsection (a) [<del>(d)</del>].

10 (h) <u>A governmental entity that is the employer of active</u> 11 <u>members of a public retirement system evaluated under Subsection</u> 12 <u>(a) may pay all or part of the costs of the evaluation. The</u> [A] 13 public retirement system shall pay <u>any remaining unpaid</u> [the] costs 14 of the [each] evaluation [of the system under this section].

SECTION 3. Subchapter B, Chapter 802, Government Code, is amended by adding Section 802.110 to read as follows:

Sec. 802.110. GRADING PROGRAM. (a) The board by rule shall establish a program under which the board evaluates each public retirement system and assigns a numerical grade to the system based on the system's performance in carrying out the system's duties under the laws of this state, including the system's procedures and capacity for satisfying accrued obligations.

(b) The program established under this section must include the adoption of a rating schedule for use in the evaluation and grading of each public retirement system. The rating schedule must be based on a point system that measures, in accordance with the board's funding guidelines and nationally accepted standards for

C.S.S.B. No. 1372

1 the operation of a public retirement system, a system's: 2 (1) funding using metrics such as the system's funding policy under Section 802.2011, amortization period for unfunded 3 actuarial accrued liability, funding ratio, as that term is defined 4 by Section 802.2011, cash flow, and contribution sufficiency; 5 6 (2) investment program using metrics such as a 7 comparison of actual returns to assumed returns, risk-adjusted 8 returns, and investment fee benchmarking; and 9 (3) governance using metrics such as the system's compliance with training requirements under Section 801.211 for 10 trustees and system administrators, board member expertise, and 11 engagement of the associated governmental entity, as that term is 12 defined by Section 802.1012. 13 14 (c) The rating schedule adopted under Subsection (b) must 15 account for a public retirement system's efforts to measure and reduce financial and other risks to the system by implementing 16 17 practices such as stress testing, scenario analysis, and asset-lia<u>bility\_studies.</u> 18 SECTION 4. Section 802.109, Government Code, as amended by 19 this Act, applies only to an evaluation commenced on or after the 20 effective date of this Act. An evaluation commenced before the 21 effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect on the 22 date the evaluation was commenced, and the former law is continued 23 24 in effect for that purpose. SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2021. 25