# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD Austin, Texas

# FISCAL NOTE, 87TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

### **April 20, 2021**

**TO:** Honorable Andrew S. Murr, Chair, House Committee on Corrections

FROM: Jerry McGinty, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: HB342** by Rosenthal (Relating to the temperature at which a facility operated by the Texas Department of Criminal Justice is maintained.), **As Introduced** 

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for HB342, As Introduced : a negative impact of (\$1,192,896,977) through the biennium ending August 31, 2023.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

### **General Revenue-Related Funds, Five- Year Impact:**

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2022	(\$1,052,896,977)
2023	(\$140,000,000)
2024	(\$140,000,000)
2025	(\$140,000,000)
2026	(\$140,000,000)

# All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable (Cost) from  General Revenue Fund  1
2022	(\$1,052,896,977)
2023	(\$140,000,000)
2024	(\$140,000,000)
2025	(\$140,000,000)
2026	(\$140,000,000)

## **Fiscal Analysis**

The bill would amend the Government Code to require the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) to maintain the temperature at each of its facilities between 65 and 85 degrees Fahrenheit. The bill would require TDCJ to comply with the temperature regulation by September 1, 2022.

# Methodology

TDCJ estimates \$1.1 billion in initial air conditioning construction costs to comply with the provisions of the bill. Additionally, TDCJ estimates an annual cost of \$140.0 million for utilities and maintenance across all

housing areas when fully constructed. According to TDCJ, the preliminary fiscal estimate is based on the following assumptions: the provisions of the bill would apply to offender housing areas only; a fiscal estimate for each facility would require an engineering assessment; there are a sufficient number of vendors necessary to complete the construction projects; and the electrical grid and unit infrastructure are sufficient to support the increased energy demand.

The 1000 bed dorm prototype facilities includes 4 units with a preliminary cost estimate of \$4.7 million per unit. The fiscal impact, based on the Pack Unit actual cost, would be \$18,793,375 in fiscal year 2022. TDCJ prototype facilities with a 2250 bed capacity includes 10 units for a preliminary estimate of \$25 million per unit. The fiscal impact, based on the Michael Unit engineering study, would be \$250,212,754 in fiscal year 2022. TDCJ prototype facilities with a 1000 bed capacity includes 16 units for an estimated preliminary cost of \$12.5 million per unit. The fiscal impact, based on the Hodge Unit engineering study, would be \$199,459,760 in fiscal year 2022. TDCJ facilities built before 1987 would have a preliminary cost per unit of \$18.5 million. Based on the Coffield Unit engineering study, the preliminary fiscal impact would be \$333,129,286 in fiscal year 2022. Twenty-one transfer facilities would have a fiscal impact of \$248,460,106 in fiscal year 2022. Based on the Hutchins Unit engineering study, the preliminary cost to install air conditioning would be approximately \$11.8 million per unit. Two medical units would have a preliminary fiscal impact of \$2,841,696 in fiscal year 2022, based on the Hodge Unit actual cost.

This analysis assumes the provisions of the bill would not result in a significant impact on state correctional populations or on the demand for state correctional resources.

### **Local Government Impact**

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

**Source Agencies:** 696 Department of Criminal Justice

LBB Staff: JMc, DKN, KFB, KVEL, WP, LBO