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| **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE** State law currently requires judges and justices to retire at the age of 75. However, given today's longer life expectancies, 75 is no longer a reasonable age to mandate someone step down from the bench. H.J.R. 107 proposes a constitutional amendment to raise the mandatory retirement age to 79 to ensure that experienced, respected judges and justices are not forced to retire too early. |
| **CRIMINAL JUSTICE IMPACT**It is the committee's opinion that this resolution does not expressly create a criminal offense, increase the punishment for an existing criminal offense or category of offenses, or change the eligibility of a person for community supervision, parole, or mandatory supervision. |
| **RULEMAKING AUTHORITY** It is the committee's opinion that this resolution does not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority to a state officer, department, agency, or institution. |
| **ANALYSIS** H.J.R. 107 proposes an amendment to the Texas Constitution to raise the mandatory age of retirement for state justices and judges from 75 to 79. |
| **ELECTION DATE** The constitutional amendment proposed by this joint resolution will be submitted to the voters at an election to be held November 7, 2023. |