By: Burrows

H.B. No. 2139

	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
1	AN ACT
2	relating to the construction of codes, laws, and statutes.
3	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
4	SECTION 1. Section 311.016, Government Code, is amended by
5	amending Subdivisions (2) and (3) to read as follows:
6	(2) "Shall" imposes a duty <u>and is synonymous with</u>
7	"must."
8	(3) "Must" imposes a duty and is synonymous with
9	<u>"shall."</u> [creates or recognizes a condition precedent.]
10	SECTION 2. Section 311.021, Government Code, is amended to
11	read as follows:
12	Sec. 311.021. INTENTIONALISM PROHIBITED [INTENTION IN
13	ENACTMENT OF STATUTES]. (a) When interpreting a statute, a court
14	is not to inquire into what members of the legislature intended or
15	hoped to accomplish, but shall enforce the statutory text as
16	written and in accordance with the meaning that the words of the
17	statute would have to an ordinary speaker of the English language.
18	See, e.g., Oliver Wendell Holmes, The Theory of Legal
19	Interpretation, 12 Harv. L. Rev. 417, 419 (1899) ("We do not inquire
20	what the legislature meant; we ask only what the statute means.")
21	[In enacting a statute, it is presumed that:
22	(1) compliance with the constitutions of this state
23	and the United States is intended;
24	(2) the entire statute is intended to be effective;

(3) a just and reasonable result is intended; 1 (4) a result feasible of execution is intended; and 2 (5) public interest is favored over any private 3 4 interest]. 5 SECTION 3. Section 311.023, Government Code, is amended to read as follows: 6 Sec. 311.023. RELIANCE ON LEGISLATIVE HISTORY PROHIBITED 7 8 [STATUTE CONSTRUCTION AIDS]. (a) In construing a statute [whether or not the statute is considered ambiguous on its face], a 9 10 court may not under any circumstance consider consult, cite, rely upon, or give any weight to [among other matters the]: 11 statements from individual legislators, including 12 (1)bill authors and sponsors [object sought to be attained]; 13 14 (2) committee reports of any type [circumstances under 15 which the statute was enacted]; (3) statements made in legislative hearings or floor 16 17 debates [legislative history]; or 18 signing statements [common law or former statutory (4) provisions, including laws on the same or similar subjects; 19 (5) consequences of a particular construction; 20 21 (6) administrative construction of the statute; and (7) title (caption), preamble, and emergency 2.2 23 provision]. 24 SECTION 4. Section 311.025, Government Code, is amended by amending Subsection (c) to read as follows: 25 Sec. 311.025. IRRECONCILABLE STATUTES AND AMENDMENTS. 26 In determining whether amendments are irreconcilable, 27 (C)

1 text that is reenacted because of the requirement of Article III, Section 36, of the Texas Constitution is not considered to be 2 3 irreconcilable with additions or omissions in the same text made by another amendment. Unless clearly indicated to the contrary, an 4 amendment that reenacts text in compliance with that constitutional 5 requirement does not mean [indicate legislative intent] that the 6 reenacted text prevails [prevail] over changes in the same text 7 8 made by another amendment, regardless of the relative dates of enactment. 9

SECTION 5. Section 311.026, Government Code, is amended by amending Subsection (b) to read as follows:

(b) If the conflict between the general provision and the special or local provision is irreconcilable, the special or local provision prevails as an exception to the general provision, unless the general provision is the later enactment and <u>clearly and</u> <u>unambiguously supersedes the special or local provision</u> [the manifest intent is that the general provision prevail].

18 SECTION 6. Section 311.028, Government Code, is amended to 19 read as follows:

20 Sec. 311.028. UNIFORM CONSTRUCTION OF UNIFORM ACTS. A 21 uniform act included in a code shall be construed<u>, when possible</u>, 22 [to effect its general purpose]to make uniform the law of those 23 states that enact it.

SECTION 7. Section 311.032, Government Code, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (b), and (c) and adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:

27 Sec. 311.032. SEVERABILITY OF STATUTES AND SAVING

1 CONSTRUCTIONS. (a) Unless a statute expressly includes a 2 nonseverability clause, every provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word of a statute, and every discrete 3 application of a statutory provision, section, subsection, 4 sentence, clause, phrase, or word to any person, group of persons, 5 or circumstances, shall be severable from each other [If any 6 7 statute contains a provision for severability, that provision 8 prevails in interpreting that statute].

9 (b) If any application of any statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to any person, group 10 of persons, or circumstances is found by any court to be invalid, 11 preeempted, or unconstitutional, for any reason whatsoever, then 12 all remaining applications of that statutory provision, section, 13 subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to all other persons 14 15 and circumstances shall be severed and preserved, and shall remain in effect. All valid, non-preempted, and constitutional 16 17 applications of any enacted statute shall be severed from any applications that a court finds to be invalid, preeempted, or 18 unconstitutional, because it is the legislature's intent and 19 priority that every valid, non-preempted, and constitutional 20 application of its statutory enactments be allowed to stand alone 21 and remain enforceable [statute contains a provision for 22 23 nonseverability, that provision prevails in interpreting that 24 statute].

25 (c) <u>No court may decline to enforce the severability</u>
26 requirements of Subsections (a) and (b) on the ground that
27 severance would "rewrite" the statute or involve the court in

1 legislative or lawmaking activity. A court that declines to
2 enforce or enjoins a state official from enforcing a statutory
3 enactment, in whole or in part, is never rewriting a statute or
4 engaging in legislative or lawmaking activity, as the statute
5 continues to contain the same words as before the court's decision.
6 A judicial injunction or declaration of unconstitutionality:
7 (1) is nothing more than an edict prohibiting

8 enforcement of the disputed statute against the named parties to 9 that lawsuit, which may subsequently be vacated by a later court if 10 that court has a different understanding of the law;

11 (2) is not a formal amendment of the language in a 12 statute; and

(3) no more rewrites a statute than a decision by the 13 14 executive not to enforce a duly enacted statute in a limited and defined set of circumstances [In a statute that does not contain a 15 provision for severability or nonseverability, if any provision 16 17 the statute or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions 18 19 applications of the statute that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of 20 21 the statute are severable].

(d) If any state or federal court disregards any of the severability requirements in Subsections (a), (b), or (c), and declares or finds any statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to be facially or totally invalid, precempted, or unconstitutional, when there are discrete applications of that statutory provision, section, subsection,

1 sentence, clause, phrase, or word that could be enforced against a 2 person, group of persons, or circumstances without violating federal law or the federal or state constitutions, then that 3 statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, 4 phrase, or word shall be interpreted, as a matter of state law, as 5 if the legislature had explicitly limited its application to the 6 persons, group of persons, or circumstances for which its 7 8 application will not violate federal law or the federal or state constitutions, and every court shall adopt and apply this saving 9 construction until the court ruling that pronounced the statutory 10 provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word 11 facially or totally invalid, preeempted, or unconstitutional is 12 13 vacated or overruled.

SECTION 8. Section 311.034, Government Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 311.034. WAIVER OF SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY; JURISDICTIONAL <u>REQUIREMENTS</u>. (a) In order to preserve the legislature's interest in managing state fiscal matters through the appropriations process, a statute shall not be construed as a waiver of sovereign immunity unless the waiver is effected by clear and unambiguous language.

22 (b) In a statute, the use of "person," as defined by Section 23 311.005 to include governmental entities, does not [indicate 24 legislative intent to] waive sovereign immunity unless the context 25 of the statute indicates no other reasonable construction.

26 <u>(C)</u> Statutory prerequisites to a suit, including the 27 provision of notice, are jurisdictional requirements in all suits

1 against a governmental entity.

2 SECTION 9. Subchapter C, Chapter 311, Government Code, is 3 amended by adding Section 311.037 to read as follows:

<u>Sec. 311.037. GRAMMATICAL AND SCRIVENER'S ERROR. (a) A</u> <u>grammatical or scrivener's error does not vitiate a law. If a</u> <u>statute contains a grammatical or scrivener's error that would be</u> <u>apparent to an ordinary reader of the English language, then the</u> <u>Court may interpret the statute in the way that an ordinary reader</u> <u>of the English language would understand the statute in light of the</u> <u>grammatical or scrivener's error.</u>

SECTION 9. Section 312.005, Government Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 312.005. 13 INTENTIONALISM PROHIBITED [LEGISLATIVE 14 INTENT]. When [In] interpreting a statute, a court is not to 15 inquire into what members of the legislature intended or hoped to accomplish, but shall enforce the statutory text as written and in 16 17 accordance with the meaning that the words of the statute would have to an ordinary speaker of the English language. See, e.g., Oliver 18 19 Wendell Holmes, The Theory of Legal Interpretation, 12 Harv. L. Rev. 417, 419 (1899) ("We do not inquire what the legislature meant; 20 we ask only what the statute means.") [shall diligently attempt to 21 ascertain legislative intent and shall consider at all times the 22 old law, the evil, and the remedy]. 23

24 SECTION 10. Section 312.006, Government Code, is amended to 25 read as follows:

26 Sec. 312.006. <u>RELIANCE ON LEGISLATIVE HISTORY PROHIBITED</u> 27 [LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION]. (a) <u>In construing a statute, a court may</u>

1	not under any circumstance consider, consult, cite, rely upon, or
2	give any weight to:
3	(1) statements from individual legislators, including
4	bill authors and sponsors;
5	(2) committee reports of any type;
6	(3) statements made in legislative hearings or floor
7	debates; or
8	(4) signing statements [The Revised Statutes are the
9	law of this state and shall be liberally construed to achieve their
10	purpose and to promote justice].
11	SECTION 11. Section 312.012, Government Code, is amended to
12	read as follows:
13	Sec. 312.012. <u>GRAMMATICAL AND SCRIVENER'S ERROR</u> [GRAMMAR
14	AND PUNCTUATION]. [(a)] A grammatical <u>or scrivener's</u> error does
15	not vitiate a law. If <u>a statute contains a grammatical or</u>
16	scrivener's error that would be apparent to an ordinary reader of
17	the English language, then the Court may interpret the statute in
18	the way that an ordinary reader of the English language would
19	understand the statute in light of the grammatical or scrivener's
20	error [the sentence or clause is meaningless because of the
21	grammatical error, words and clauses may be transposed to give the
22	law meaning.
23	(b) Punctuation of a law does not control or affect
24	legislative intent in enacting the law].

25 SECTION 12. Section 312.013, Government Code, is amended by 26 amending subsection (a) and (b) and adding Subsections (c) and (d) 27 to read as follows:

Sec. 312.013. SEVERABILITY OF AND SAVING 1 STATUTES CONSTRUCTIONS. 2 (a) Unless <u>a statute expressly includes a</u> 3 nonseverability clause, every provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word of a statute, and every discrete 4 application of a statutory provision, section, subsection, 5 sentence, clause, phrase, or word to any person, group of persons, 6 or circumstances, shall be severable from each other [expressly 7 provided otherwise, if any provision of a statute or its 8 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the 9 10 invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the statute that can be given effect without the invalid provision or 11 12 application, and to this end the provisions of the statute are severable]. 13 14 (b) If any application of any statutory provision, section, 15 subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to any person, group of persons, or circumstances is found by any court to be invalid, 16 17 preeempted, or unconstitutional, for any reason whatsoever, then all remaining applications of that statutory provision, section, 18 subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to all other persons 19 and circumstances shall be severed and preserved, and shall remain 20 in effect. All valid, non-preempted, and constitutional 21 applications of any enacted statute shall be severed from any 22 applications that a court finds to be invalid, preeempted, or 23

H.B. No. 2139

24 unconstitutional, because it is the legislature's intent and priority that every valid, non-preempted, and constitutional 25 26 application of its statutory enactments be allowed to stand alone and remain enforceable [This section does not affect the power

27

1 duty of a court to ascertain and give effect to legislative intent 2 concerning severability of a statute]. 3 (c) No court may decline to enforce the severability 4 requirements of Subsections (a) and (b) on the ground that

5 <u>severance would "rewrite" the statute or involve the court in</u> 6 <u>legislative or lawmaking activity. A court that declines to</u> 7 <u>enforce or enjoins a state official from enforcing a statutory</u> 8 <u>enactment, in whole or in part, is never rewriting a statute or</u> 9 <u>engaging in legislative or lawmaking activity, as the statute</u> 10 <u>continues to contain the same words as before the court's decision.</u> 11 <u>A judicial injunction or declaration of unconstitutionality:</u>

12 <u>(1) is nothing more than an edict prohibiting</u> 13 <u>enforcement of the disputed statute against the named parties to</u> 14 <u>that lawsuit, which may subsequently be vacated by a later court if</u> 15 <u>that court has a different understanding of the law;</u>

16 (2) is not a formal amendment of the language in a 17 <u>statute; and</u>

18 (3) no more rewrites a statute than a decision by the 19 executive not to enforce a duly enacted statute in a limited and 20 defined set of circumstances.

(d) If any state or federal court disregards any of the severability requirements in Subsections (a), (b), or (c), and declares or finds any statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word to be facially or totally invalid, precempted, or unconstitutional, when there are discrete applications of that statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word that could be enforced against a

person, group of persons, or circumstances without violating 1 federal law or the federal or state constitutions, then that 2 statutory provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, 3 phrase, or word shall be interpreted, as a matter of state law, as 4 if the legislature had explicitly limited its application to the 5 persons, group of persons, or circumstances for which its 6 application will not violate federal law or the federal or state 7 constitutions, and every court shall adopt and apply this saving 8 construction until the court ruling that pronounced the statutory 9 provision, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word 10 facially or totally invalid, preeempted, or unconstitutional is 11 12 vacated or overruled.

H.B. No. 2139

13 SECTION 13. The following provisions of the Government Code 14 are repealed:

15

(1) Section 312.006(b); and

16

(2) Section 312.012(b).

17 SECTION 14. This Act takes effect immediately if it 18 receives a vote of two-thirds of all the members elected to each 19 house, as provided by Section 39, Article III, Texas Constitution. 20 If this Act does not receive the vote necessary for immediate 21 effect, this Act takes effect September 1, 2023.