SENATE AMENDMENTS

2nd Printing

By: Jetton H.B. No. 3452

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1	AN ACT
2	relating to complaints submitted to and sanctions issued by the
3	State Commission on Judicial Conduct.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Section 33.0211(a), Government Code, is amended
6	to read as follows:
7	(a) The commission shall maintain a file on each written
8	complaint filed with the commission. The file must include:
9	(1) the name of the person who filed the complaint;
10	(2) a sworn statement from the person who filed the
11	complaint attesting that the contents of the complaint are true to
12	the best of the person's knowledge;
13	(3) the date the complaint is received by the
14	commission;
15	(4) [(3)] the subject matter of the complaint;
16	(5) [(4)] the name of each person contacted in
17	relation to the complaint;
18	(6) $[(5)]$ a summary of the results of the review or
19	investigation of the complaint; and
20	(7) [(6)] an explanation of the reason the file was
21	closed, if the commission closed the file without taking action
22	other than to investigate the complaint.
23	SECTION 2. Sections 33.034(a), (e), and (i), Government

Code, are amended to read as follows:

H.B. No. 3452

- 1 (a) A judge who receives from the commission a <u>public</u>
- 2 sanction or censure issued by the commission under Section 1-a(8),
- 3 Article V, Texas Constitution, or any other type of <u>public</u>
- 4 sanction, including a public admonition or warning, is entitled to
- 5 a review of the commission's decision as provided by this section.
- 6 This section does not apply to a decision by the commission to
- 7 institute formal proceedings.
- 8 (e) The review by the court under this section[÷
- 9 $\left[\frac{(1)}{(1)}\right]$ of a sanction or censure issued in a formal or
- 10 <u>informal</u> proceeding is a review of the record of the proceedings
- 11 that resulted in the sanction or censure and is based on the law and
- 12 facts that were presented in the proceedings and any additional
- 13 evidence that the court in its discretion may, for good cause shown,
- 14 permit[; and
- [(2) of a sanction issued in an informal proceeding is
- 16 by trial de novo as that term is used in the appeal of cases from
- 17 justice to county court].
- 18 (i) The court's decision under this section is only [not]
- 19 appealable by the commission to the supreme court.
- SECTION 3. Section 33.037, Government Code, is amended to
- 21 read as follows:
- Sec. 33.037. SUSPENSION PENDING APPEAL OR FORMAL
- 23 PROCEEDINGS. (a) If a judge who is convicted of a felony or a
- 24 misdemeanor involving official misconduct appeals the conviction,
- 25 the commission shall suspend the judge from office without pay
- 26 pending final disposition of the appeal.
- 27 (b) If the commission has initiated formal proceedings

- 1 against a judge, 10 days after the appointment of a special master,
- 2 the commission shall suspend the judge from office without pay
- 3 pending final disposition of the formal proceedings unless the
- 4 special master recommends against suspension.
- 5 SECTION 4. Section 74.055(c), Government Code, is amended
- 6 to read as follows:
- 7 (c) To be eligible to be named on the list, a retired or
- 8 former judge must:
- 9 (1) have served as an active judge for at least 96
- 10 months in a district, statutory probate, statutory county, or
- 11 appellate court;
- 12 (2) have developed substantial experience in the
- 13 judge's area of specialty;
- 14 (3) not have been removed from office;
- 15 (4) certify under oath to the presiding judge, on a
- 16 form prescribed by the state board of regional judges, that:
- 17 (A) the judge has never been publicly reprimanded
- 18 or censured by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct; [and]
- 19 (B) the judge has not received more than one
- 20 public sanction, including a public admonition or warning, from the
- 21 State Commission on Judicial Conduct that was determined to be
- 22 warranted by a court of review under Section 33.034; and
- 23 $\underline{\text{(C)}}$ the judge:
- 24 (i) did not resign or retire from office
- 25 after the State Commission on Judicial Conduct notified the judge
- 26 of the commencement of a full investigation into an allegation or
- 27 appearance of misconduct or disability of the judge as provided in

H.B. No. 3452

- 1 Section 33.022 and before the final disposition of that
- 2 investigation; or
- 3 (ii) if the judge did resign from office
- 4 under circumstances described by Subparagraph (i), was not publicly
- 5 reprimanded or censured as a result of the investigation;
- 6 (5) annually demonstrate that the judge has completed
- 7 in the past state fiscal year the educational requirements for
- 8 active district, statutory probate, and statutory county court
- 9 judges; and
- 10 (6) certify to the presiding judge a willingness not
- 11 to appear and plead as an attorney in any court in this state for a
- 12 period of two years.
- SECTION 5. This Act takes effect September 1, 2023.

ADOPTED

MAY 22 2023

By: Jan Huffman

H.B. No. 3452

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 3452:

By: Super profess

c.s.<u>H</u>.b. No. 3452

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

- 1 AN ACT
- 2 relating to the discipline of judges by the State Commission on
- 3 Judicial Conduct and the legislature.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. Section 33.001(b), Government Code, is amended
- 6 to read as follows:
- 7 (b) For purposes of Section 1-a, Article V, Texas
- 8 Constitution, "wilful or persistent conduct that is clearly
- 9 inconsistent with the proper performance of a judge's duties"
- 10 includes:
- 11 (1) wilful, persistent, and unjustifiable failure to
- 12 timely execute the business of the court, considering the quantity
- 13 and complexity of the business;
- 14 (2) wilful violation of a provision of the Texas penal
- 15 statutes or the Code of Judicial Conduct;
- 16 (3) persistent or wilful violation of the rules
- 17 promulgated by the supreme court;
- 18 (4) incompetence in the performance of the duties of
- 19 the office;
- 20 (5) failure to cooperate with the commission; [or]
- 21 (6) violation of any provision of a voluntary
- 22 agreement to resign from judicial office in lieu of disciplinary
- 23 action by the commission; or
- 24 (7) persistent or wilful violation of Article 17.15,

```
1 <u>Code of Criminal Procedure</u>.
```

- 2 SECTION 2. Section 33.0212, Government Code, is amended to
- 3 read as follows:
- 4 Sec. 33.0212. REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON FILED
- 5 COMPLAINTS. (a) As soon as practicable after a complaint is filed
- 6 with the commission, commission staff shall conduct a preliminary
- 7 <u>investigation of the filed complaint and draft recommendations for</u>
- 8 commission action.
- 9 <u>(a-1)</u> On completion of the preliminary investigation and
- 10 <u>submission</u> of recommendations under Subsection (a), commission
- 11 staff shall provide to the judge who is the subject of the complaint
- 12 written notice of:
- (1) the complaint, the results of the preliminary
- 14 investigation, and the commission staff's recommendations for
- 15 commission action regarding the complaint; and
- 16 (2) the judge's right to attend each commission
- 17 meeting at which the complaint is included in the report filed with
- 18 the commission members under Subsection (a-2).
- 19 <u>(a-2)</u> Not later than the <u>10th business day before a</u>
- 20 scheduled commission meeting [120th day after the date a complaint
- 21 is filed with the commission], commission staff shall prepare and
- 22 file with each member of the commission a report detailing:
- (1) each complaint for which a preliminary
- 24 investigation has been conducted under Subsection (a) but for which
- 25 the investigation report has not been finalized under Subsection
- 26 <u>(b)</u>;
- 27 <u>(2)</u> the <u>results of the preliminary</u> investigation of

```
the complaint; and
 2
               (3) the commission staff's recommendations
                                                                  for
   commission action regarding the complaint.
 3
          (b) Not later than the 120th [90th] day following the date
   of the first commission meeting at which a complaint is included in
 5
   the report filed with the commission under Subsection (a-2) [staff
 6
   files with the commission the report required by Subsection (a)],
   the commission shall <u>finalize</u> the investigation report and
   determine any action to be taken regarding the complaint,
10
    including:
11
               (1)
                    a public sanction;
12
               (2)
                   a private sanction;
13
               (3)
                   a suspension;
14
               (4)
                    an order of education;
15
               (5)
                         acceptance of resignation
                    an
                                                        in
                                                             lieu
                                                                   of
16
   discipline;
17
               (6)
                   a dismissal; or
18
                    an initiation of formal proceedings.
19
          (b-1) After the commission meeting at which
   investigation report is finalized and an action is determined under
20
21
   Subsection (b), the commission shall provide to the judge who is the
   subject of a complaint:
22
23
               (1) written notice of the action to be taken regarding
24
   the complaint not more than five business days after the commission
```

meeting; and

25

26

27

of the action to be taken published on the commission's Internet

(2) as the commission determines appropriate, notice

```
website not more than seven business days after the commission
   meeting.
 3
                    because
                              of
                                  extenuating circumstances,
   commission [staff] is unable to finalize an investigation report
   and determine the action to be taken regarding a complaint under
   Subsection (b) [provide an investigation report and recommendation
   to the commission] before the 120th day following the date of the
   first [the complaint was filed with the] commission meeting at
   which a complaint is included in the report filed with the
10
   commission under Subsection (a-2), the commission may order an
   extension [the staff shall notify the commission and propose the
11
12
   number of days required for the commission and commission staff to
13
   complete the investigation report and recommendations and finalize
14
   the complaint. The staff may request an extension] of not more
15
   than 240 [270] days from the date of the first [the complaint was
16
   filed with the] commission meeting at which a complaint is included
   in the report filed with the commission under Subsection
17
   (a-2). [The commission shall finalize the complaint not later than
18
19
   the 270th day following the date the complaint was filed with the
20
   commission.
21
          (d)
              [The executive director may request that the
22
   chairperson grant an additional 120 days to the time provided under
23
   Subsection (c) for the commission and commission staff to complete
24
   the investigation report and recommendations and finalize the
25
   complaint.
26
          [<del>(e)</del>] If the commission orders an extension of time under
27
   Subsection (c) [chairperson grants additional time under
```

- 1 Subsection (d)], the commission must timely inform the legislature
- 2 of the extension. The commission may not disclose to the
- 3 legislature any confidential information regarding the complaint.
- 4 SECTION 3. Section 33.0213, Government Code, is amended to
- 5 read as follows:
- 6 Sec. 33.0213. NOTIFICATION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY
- 7 INVESTIGATION. On notice by any law enforcement agency
- 8 investigating an action for which a complaint has been filed with
- 9 the commission, the commission:
- 10 (1) may place the commission's complaint file on hold
- 11 and decline any further investigation that would jeopardize the law
- 12 enforcement agency's investigation; or
- 13 (2) shall[. The commission may] continue an
- 14 investigation that would not jeopardize a law enforcement
- 15 investigation regarding the conduct subject to the complaint and
- 16 may issue a censure or sanction based on the complaint.
- SECTION 4. Section 33.034(a), Government Code, is amended
- 18 to read as follows:
- 19 (a) A judge who receives from the commission a public
- 20 sanction or censure issued by the commission under Section 1-a(8),
- 21 Article V, Texas Constitution, that makes the judge ineligible for
- 22 <u>assignment under Section 74.055</u> [or any other type of sanction] is
- 23 entitled to a review of the commission's decision as provided by
- 24 this section. This section does not apply to a decision by the
- 25 commission to institute formal proceedings.
- SECTION 5. Section 33.037, Government Code, is amended to
- 27 read as follows:

1	Sec. 33.037. SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE [PENDING APPEAL]. (a)
2	If a judge who is convicted of a felony or a misdemeanor involving
3	official misconduct appeals the conviction, the commission shall
4	suspend the judge from office without pay pending final disposition
5	of the appeal.
6	(b) If the commission initiates formal proceedings against
7	a judge, the commission shall suspend the judge from office without
8	pay not later than the 30th day after the date a special master is
9	appointed and pending final disposition of the formal proceedings
10	unless the special master determines the suspension is unwarranted.
11	(c) If the commission issues a public reprimand of a judge
12	based on the judge's persistent or wilful violation of Article
13	17.15, Code of Criminal Procedure, the commission shall:
14	(1) suspend the judge from office without pay for 60
15	days; and
16	(2) send notice of the reprimand and suspension to:
17	(A) the governor;
18	(B) the lieutenant governor;
19	(C) the speaker of the house of representatives;
20	(D) the presiding officers of each legislative
21	standing committee with jurisdiction over the judiciary;
22	(E) the comptroller;
23	(F) the chief justice of the supreme court;
24	(G) the Office of Court Administration of the
25	Texas Judicial System; and
26	(H) the presiding judge of the administrative
27	judicial region for the court served by the suspended judge.

```
1
          SECTION 6. Section 74.055(c), Government Code, is amended
 2
    to read as follows:
 3
          (c) To be eligible to be named on the list, a retired or
 4
    former judge must:
 5
               (1)
                    have served as an active judge for at least 96
   months in a district, statutory probate, statutory county, or
 6
    appellate court;
 7
 8
                    have developed substantial experience in the
    judge's area of specialty;
 9
10
               (3)
                   not have been removed from office;
               (4)
                    certify under oath to the presiding judge, on a
11
12
    form prescribed by the state board of regional judges, that:
                        the judge has never been either:
13
                     (A)
14
                          (i) publicly reprimanded or censured by the
15
    State Commission on Judicial Conduct; or
16
                          (ii) publicly reprimanded, sanctioned, or
    censured, or any combination of those punishments, more than once,
17
    unless the reprimand, sanction, or censure has been reviewed and
18
   rescinded by a special court of review under Section 33.034; and
19
20
                     (B)
                        the judge:
21
                          (i) did not resign or retire from office
    after the State Commission on Judicial Conduct notified the judge
22
23
    of the commencement of a full investigation into an allegation or
    appearance of misconduct or disability of the judge as provided in
```

investigation; or

24

25

26

27

(ii)

Section 33.022 and before the final disposition of that

if the judge did resign from office

- 1 under circumstances described by Subparagraph (i), was not publicly
- 2 reprimanded or censured as a result of the investigation;
- 3 (5) annually demonstrate that the judge has completed
- 4 in the past state fiscal year the educational requirements for
- 5 active district, statutory probate, and statutory county court
- 6 judges; and
- 7 (6) certify to the presiding judge a willingness not
- 8 to appear and plead as an attorney in any court in this state for a
- 9 period of two years.
- SECTION 7. Section 665.052(b), Government Code, is amended
- 11 to read as follows:
- 12 (b) In this section, "incompetency" means:
- 13 (1) gross ignorance of official duties;
- 14 (2) gross carelessness in the discharge of official
- 15 duties; [or]
- 16 (3) inability or unfitness to discharge promptly and
- 17 properly official duties because of a serious physical or mental
- 18 defect that did not exist at the time of the officer's election; or
- 19 <u>(4) persistent or wilful violation of Article 17.15,</u>
- 20 <u>Code of Criminal Procedure</u>.
- 21 SECTION 8. As soon as practicable after the effective date
- 22 of this Act, the State Commission on Judicial Conduct shall adopt
- 23 rules to implement Section 33.001(b), Government Code, as amended
- 24 by this Act.
- 25 SECTION 9. Sections 33.001(b) and 665.052(b), Government
- 26 Code, as amended by this Act, apply only to an allegation of
- 27 judicial misconduct received by the State Commission on Judicial

- 1 Conduct or the legislature on or after the effective date of this
- 2 Act, regardless of whether the conduct or act that is the subject of
- 3 the allegation occurred or was committed before, on, or after the
- 4 effective date of this Act.
- 5 SECTION 10. Section 33.037, Government Code, as amended by
- 6 this Act, applies only to a special master appointed to hear a
- 7 formal proceeding on or after the effective date of this Act.
- 8 SECTION 11. A former or retired judge on a list maintained
- 9 by a presiding judge under Section 74.055(a), Government Code, who
- 10 is ineligible to be named on the list under Section 74.055(c),
- 11 Government Code, as amended by this Act, shall be struck from the
- 12 list on the effective date of this Act and may not be assigned to any
- 13 court on or after the effective date of this Act.
- SECTION 12. This Act takes effect September 1, 2023.

FISCAL NOTE, 88TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 22, 2023

TO: Honorable Dade Phelan, Speaker of the House, House of Representatives

FROM: Jerry McGinty, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB3452 by Jetton (Relating to the discipline of judges by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct and the legislature.), As Passed 2nd House

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB3452, As Passed 2nd House: a negative impact of (\$494,948) through the biennium ending August 31, 2025.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five- Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2024	(\$247,474)
2025	(\$247,474)
2026	(\$247,474)
2027	(\$247,474)
2028	(\$247,474)

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from General Revenue Fund 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2023
2024	(\$247,474)	2.0
2025	(\$247,474)	2.0
2026	(\$247,474)	2.0
2027	(\$247,474)	2.0
2028	(\$247,474)	2.0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Government Code to shorten the timeframes for investigating and disposing of complaints filed with the State Commission on Judicial Conduct (SCJC).

The bill would amend the Government Code to require SCJC to conduct a preliminary investigation and draft recommendations for commission action as soon as practicable after complaint has been filed.

The bill would require SCJC to provide to judges who are the subject of a complaint of the commission staff's recommendations for commission action and the judge's right to attend each commission meeting at which a

report prepared and filed not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting includes the complaint against the judge.

The bill would require SCJC to prepare and file, not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting, a report detailing each complaint for which a preliminary investigation has been conducted but for which the investigation report is not finalized; the results of the preliminary investigation; and the commission staff's recommendations for commission action regarding the complaint.

The bill would also require the agency, not later than the 120th day following the date of the first commission meeting at which the complaint is included in the the report filed not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting, to finalize the investigation report.

The bill would require SCJC, within 5 days of the commission meeting at which the investigation report is finalized and a commission action is determined, to provide the judge who is subject to a complaint written notice of the action to be taken regarding the complaint and to publish notice of the action to be taken on the commission's website not more than 7 business days after the commission meeting.

If, because of extenuating circumstances, the agency is unable to finalize an investigation report and determine an action to be taken regarding the complaint before the 120th day from the date of the first commission meeting at which the complaint is included in the the report filed not later than the 10th business day before the commission meeting, the bill would provide that the agency could order an extension of not more than 240 days from the date of that first commission meeting.

The bill would also amend the Government Code to add persistent or willful violation of the rules for setting bail under Article 17.15 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to the definition of "willful or persistent conduct that is clearly inconsistent with the proper performance of a judge's duties" for the purposes of Section 1-a, Article V, Texas Constitution.

The bill would require SCJC to suspend a judge from office without pay for 60 days under certain circumstances and, if they do, to notify the governor, lieutenant governor, speaker of the House of Representatives, the presiding officers of the standing committees of each house of the legislature with applicable jurisdiction, and the comptroller.

The bill would allow the commission to suspend, or place on hold, an investigation if the person is under continued investigation by any law enforcement agency.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2023.

Methodology

Costs reflected in the table above are based on information provided by SCJC.

Based on the information provided by SCJC, this analysis assumes the agency would need additional staff to resolve complaints in the shorter times frames that would be established by the bill. Because many of the complaints the agency receives involve issues requiring substantial legal research and analysis it is assumed 2.0 additional attorney positions (2.0 FTEs) would be required to implement the bill's provisions.

Salary, benefit, and payroll contributions for these positions would total \$245,204 in fiscal year 2024; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2025; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2026; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2027; and \$245,204 in fiscal year 2028.

Other operating expenses would total \$2,270 in fiscal year 2024; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2025; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2026; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2027; and \$2,270 in fiscal year 2028.

Technology

Operating expenses above include technology impacts of \$1,325 in Fiscal Year 2024 and \$1,325 in Fiscal Year 2025, for hardware and Lexis Nexis licenses.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 242 State Commission on Judicial Conduct

LBB Staff: JMc, SD, KDw, JPa, MW

FISCAL NOTE, 88TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 18, 2023

TO: Honorable Bryan Hughes, Chair, Senate Committee on Jurisprudence

FROM: Jerry McGinty, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB3452 by Jetton (relating to the discipline of judges by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct and the legislature.), Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB3452, Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted: a negative impact of (\$494,948) through the biennium ending August 31, 2025.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five- Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2024	(\$247,474)
2025	(\$247,474)
2026	(\$247,474)
2027	(\$247,474)
2028	(\$247,474)

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Savings/(Cost) from General Revenue Fund	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2023
2024	(\$247,474)	2.0
2025	(\$247,474)	2.0
2026	(\$247,474)	2.0
2027	(\$247,474)	2.0
2028	(\$247,474)	2.0

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend the Government Code to shorten the timeframes for investigating and disposing of complaints filed with the State Commission on Judicial Conduct (SCJC).

The bill would amend the Government Code to require SCJC to conduct a preliminary investigation and draft recommendations for commission action as soon as practicable after complaint has been filed.

The bill would require SCJC to provide to judges who are the subject of a complaint of the commission staff's recommendations for commission action and the judge's right to attend each commission meeting at which a

report prepared and filed not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting includes the complaint against the judge.

The bill would require SCJC to prepare and file, not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting, a report detailing each complaint for which a preliminary investigation has been conducted but for which the investigation report is not finalized; the results of the preliminary investigation; and the commission staff's recommendations for commission action regarding the complaint.

The bill would also require the agency, not later than the 120th day following the date of the first commission meeting at which the complaint is included in the treport filed not later than the 10th business day before a scheduled commission meeting, to finalize the investigation report.

The bill would require SCJC, within 5 days of the commission meeting at which the investigation report is finalized and a commission action is determined, to provide the judge who is subject to a complaint written notice of the action to be taken regarding the complaint and to publish notice of the action to be taken on the commission's website not more than 7 business days after the commission meeting.

If, because of extenuating circumstances, the agency is unable to finalize an investigation report and determine an action to be taken regarding the complaint before the 120th day from the date of the first commission meeting at which the complaint is included in the treport filed not later than the 10th business day before the commission meeting, the bill would provide that the agency could order an extension of not more than 240 days from the date of that first commission meeting.

The bill would also amend the Government Code to add persistent or willful violation of the rules for setting bail under Article 17.15 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to the definition of "willful or persistent conduct that is clearly inconsistent with the proper performance of a judge's duties" for the purposes of Section 1-a, Article V, Texas Constitution.

The bill would require SCJC to suspend a judge from office without pay for 60 days under certain circumstances and, if they do, to notify the governor, lieutenant governor, speaker of the House of Representatives, the presiding officers of the standing committees of each house of the legislature with applicable jurisdiction, and the comptroller.

The bill would allow the commission to suspend, or place on hold, an investigation if the person is under continued investigation by any law enforcement agency.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2023.

Methodology

Costs reflected in the table above are based on information provided by SCJC.

Based on the information provided by SCJC, this analysis assumes the agency would need additional staff to resolve complaints in the shorter times frames that would be established by the bill. Because many of the complaints the agency receives involve issues requiring substantial legal research and analysis it is assumed 2.0 additional attorney positions (2.0 FTEs) would be required to implement the bill's provisions.

Salary, benefit, and payroll contributions for these positions would total \$245,204 in fiscal year 2024; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2025; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2026; \$245,204 in fiscal year 2027; and \$245,204 in fiscal year 2028.

Other operating expenses would total \$2,270 in fiscal year 2024; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2025; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2026; \$2,270 in fiscal year 2027; and \$2,270 in fiscal year 2028.

Technology

Operating expenses above include technology impacts of \$1,325 in Fiscal Year 2024 and \$1,325 in Fiscal Year 2025, for hardware and Lexis Nexis licenses.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 242 State Commission on Judicial Conduct

LBB Staff: JMc, KDw, JPa, MW

FISCAL NOTE, 88TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

May 16, 2023

TO: Honorable Bryan Hughes, Chair, Senate Committee on Jurisprudence

FROM: Jerry McGinty, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB3452 by Jetton (Relating to complaints submitted to and sanctions issued by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct.), As Engrossed

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

It is assumed that any costs associated with the bill could be absorbed using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 242 State Commission on Judicial Conduct

LBB Staff: JMc, KDw, DKN

FISCAL NOTE, 88TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 10, 2023

TO: Honorable Jeff Leach, Chair, House Committee on Judiciary & Civil Jurisprudence

FROM: Jerry McGinty, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB3452 by Jetton (Relating to complaints submitted to and sanctions issued by the State Commission on Judicial Conduct.), As Introduced

No significant fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.

It is assumed that any costs associated with the bill could be absorbed using existing resources.

Local Government Impact

No significant fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 212 Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council, 242 State Commission on Judicial Conduct

LBB Staff: JMc, KDw, DKN